Oregon Cybersecurity Advisory Council

Meeting Location. Oregon Institute of Technology (Oregon Tech)
Wilsonville Campus, Room 402, 27500 SW Parkway Ave., Wilsonville, OR 97070

Voting Members Present.

Kerri Fry	Charlie Kawasaki	Tom Quillin	Dennis Tomlin
	Andrew Plato (via phone)	Rakesh Bobba	

Non-Voting Members.

Stefan Richards	Megan McKenzie, **Secretary** (via phone)	Kris Rosenberg	

Guests.

Jess Daly	Dr. Margaret Banyan		

Call to Order

A meeting of the Oregon Cybersecurity Advisory Council was held on September 19, 2018. The meeting began at 9:00 am and was presided over by Kerri Fry, with Margaret Banyan taking notes since Secretary Megan McKenzie was not present for a majority of the meeting.

Agenda Summary

1) **Approval of Minutes**
2) **Announcements**
3) **Oregon Titan Fusion Center Presentation**
4) **POP and LC550 Updates**
5) **Proposal Review/Approval Process.** Status update and review of survey
6) **Upcoming Events and Announcements.**

Approval of Previous Minutes

Discussion. Reminder from Kerri to review minutes prior to approval. No amendments.

Action(s).

- Council approved August OCAC Meeting minutes. Charlie Kawasaki moved to approve, Tom Quillin seconded the motion, and the council members voted all in favor of approving the minutes. Agenda Items

1) Announcements

- Kris Rosenberg will be stepping down from Oregon Tech as a full-time faculty member

- November Calendar:
  - Discussion to move the monthly meeting in November as it currently falls on the day before the Thanksgiving holiday.
  - Suggestion to move the meeting to Tuesday, 11/20 from 9-12noon.
  - Meeting moved to 11/20.
  - **ACTION ITEM:** Ask Megan to move meeting room at Oregon Tech. Charlie Kawasaki offered PacStar as location.

- Meetings for 2019:
  - Is the 3rd Wednesday of each month working well?
  - A request is made for beginning or end of the week.
  - **ACTION ITEM:** Kerri will send out a doodle poll for meeting scheduling. All should commit to filling out as soon as possible, especially if the council is expanded as there will be more difficulties with scheduling.
  - OCAC prefers to keep meeting in the general area/location.

2) Oregon Titan Fusion Center Presentation

- Presentation by Cyber Operations Chief for Oregon National Guard (ONG). ONG has a cyber security workgroup. Presentation covered their initiatives as well as linkages to the Fusion Center. Goal is to share, and not duplicate, information. ONG will be also situated to take on projects.
- Support provided to tribal, county, and city entities. Have reached out to IT and industrial control folks to open up lines of communication. During an attack, where would agencies turn? Assistance with table-top exercises, training, - can see what National Guard expertise is – and if called by the Governor, they can assist directly. CA cyberteam is like National Guard. Have a few full-time employees that do assessments on state agencies. UT took a different approach – hooked National Guard Team up with drug task force and they partner to do technical assessments. Sometimes National Guards are activated in this way and steer. There are 10 people on the ONG cybersecurity team – deploy one weekend a month and two weeks / year. A pool of people who have cyber training throughout the ONG. Can pull augmenters from the rest of the guard.
- Federal Cybersecurity Accreditation – have any of the universities pursued this? From a military perspective they are looking for people to build a pipeline for teaching military how to develop skill sets in cybersecurity.
- Question from Rakesh: Cyber Storm and Cyber Shield – what are these SIMs about? Answer: Cyber Storm (OEM directed) – OSCIO has SIM hosted every other year. Discussion ensued about Cyber Storm and Cyber Shield exercises. Rakesh would like to pass big election infrastructure report onto the Secretary of State. Some portion of money being allocated to counties.
- Tribal governance. Would like to work with tribes. If on active duty, would be interested in working at that level. Don't have any points of contact with the tribes yet.
- Question from Stefan: How is work being coordinated? Answer: Outreach with cities has occurred, for example, just met with Hillsboro. Usually the emergency managers have some of the Cybersecurity contacts
- Discussion ensued regarding Oregon Emergency Response System
  ACTION ITEM: Obtain copy of presentation.

  Discussion:
  - *Kerri*: There are a lot of overlaps – see how they fit in information sharing, managed services, and cyber Oregon. ONG would like to keep lines of communication open.
  - *Stefan* – trying to figure out collaboration. All working on the same issues – e.g., critical infrastructure. Sometimes a city or county needs an external vulnerability scan. Don't want to get business from the private sector. ONG will not be a major player unless get more funds from the state level. Policy – trust advisor; table-top exercises; don't want to step on toes or duplicate efforts – help the wildland fires – not be the front line of resources.
  - *Charlie* – Built roads based on national defense – need more voice on OCAC. How we can collaborate and not duplicate efforts. Even if services are similar, outside the scope of areas

where we don't have to worry about competing. SB90 Mandate to create cyber security disruption plan – if there are areas of collaboration, need to know that.

- *Margaret and Jess* – Graphical overlap in the CCoE establishment plan cooperator. Who to call / when / why – need a decision tree for the establishment plan. Decision tree should be in the state-wide strategy.
- *Stefan* – CCoE needs to be the one front door. But, the advantage is that we hope that we come together on CCoE – investment in a singular place to go to tap all of the resources that are out there. On the federal side, there is a coordination group – so much interplay and overlap among defense, leo, etc. Cybercrime, critical infrastructure, etc. At the state level there needs to be a different version of the federal system. Make it easy for organizations / citizens to find help. That is the role of the CCoE. Fusion center can help send information out to their partners.
- *Kerri* – Operationally speaking, what to do is to bring in all of the existing work, bring in under the umbrella, and offer coordination. Together, bring to the governor to assist. The more organizations that are together, the more power there is at the state level.
- Follow up: Contacts: Dave Stucky and Joint Director of Military Support – Joint Operations Center. Interface with – DAS
- Tom asked about whether the OCAC is approving a structure. Kerri clarified that coming up with a skeleton is the goal.

3) POP and LC550 Update

Discussion. Jess Daley reviewed the drafts of both the POP and LC 550.

- Does not have to describe what type of nonprofit as well as whether there are questions about the skeleton structure regarding nonprofit
- Will be looking into what other states are doing. E.g., What are other states doing? Is it working?
- Question: Would the organization be covered under the Oregon Tort Act. Limits liability. Will be good to know from a service delivery standpoint.
- There is an advisor in the DOJ that will help advise on contracts, contracting process, and noncompetitive contracts.
- Rakesh asked if someone from Oregon Fiber should join the policy and legal team. Rakesh offered that someone fill in as a key player from OSU. Contact is making sure there is someone in place to assist. With legal and policy group.

POP Discussion:
- Stefan explained the split establishment costs (POP) from the Fiscal Impact (LC).
- Two separate decisions: 1) restructure government (LC) and 2) what should a program look like and cost? If the LC passes, for a restructure, then there are at least funds for basic planning. If LC passes without POP, will have a structure without money. At least will have core funding tied to the establishment of a very small independent agency that has dedicated resources to do some things.
- Putting fiscal on LC means that have to go through two committees. Stefan already has money to spend outside of state walls and contract out.
- Jess described the Fiscal Impact statement: LC 550 and fiscal impact notes.
- POP is less than $10 million from which to begin negotiations. Governor may come back with alternative proposals. Stefan would like decisions to be made at OCAC level for specifics.
- There are questions about how OCAC will be advising the state. This directly relates to how many council members to seat. The goal is to seat broad representation. This will help inform who to reach out to under Task 4. We need to start reaching out now to discuss if they will be involved.
- Research: What are the options to balance inclusion with efficient decision making on a council? Can do an executive committee that has decision making role versus a body that has the ability for inclusion and representation purposes.
- POP: Trying to meet the intent – Looking at output and dollar figure.
- Discussion ensued about the numbers expressed in the POP. Suggestion was made to combine the ISAO and public awareness into Threat Intelligence and Public Awareness.
- 60% of budget is incident recovery. Discussion ensued about the underlying concept needing to be broadened and further developed.

- **DECISION**: Dennis moved to accept the POP as amended (combining threat intelligence and public awareness). Rakesh 2nd. Stefan work through the negotiation of the POP.
- Members agreed they were comfortable with Charlie and Kerri making decisions for which a quick turnaround was needed.
- Tom wants a common set of talking points about what each of these categories are about. The more that Stefan can use for that purpose, the better.
- **ACTION ITEM:** Tom will take a shot at drafting the underlying talking points. *Rakesh*: OSU has a big machine to work with the legislature – when the time comes to start advocating.

Additional Items:
- Kerri will work with Charlie / Jess and PSU Team to work on structure; but gain access to multi-sector organization.
- Feedback was requested on the Fiscal Impact document. Will revise LC and send out copy.
- October meeting will start at 8am. Cyber Oregon branding discussion will be held in October.
- November Cybersecurity Summit in Bend. One of the best summits. Stefan leading a conversation at the Summit.
- **ACTION ITEM:** *Megan*: Send out registration link to council – only send out to those interested in Cybersecurity summit.
- Reminder to get research items to PSU.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned by Kerri Fry at 12:00 pm.

Next Steps + Assignments

Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
Reschedule November meeting to Tuesday, November 20. Send out Doodle Poll about meetings for 2019.	Megan McKenzie	Done
Send out Doodle Poll about meetings (day of the week) for 2019.	Megan McKenzie	Done
Get copy of Oregon Titan Fusion Center presentation	Kerri Fry	
Work on developing talking points around POP discussion	Tom Quillin	
Send out registration link for Cybersecurity Summit in Bend to council	Megan McKenzie	Done
Distribute meeting minutes for OCAC review	Megan McKenzie	
Draft agenda for October OCAC Meeting	Megan McKenzie	

Minutes Approved By

Chair
Signature.

_____

Date.

Vice Chair
Signature.

_____

Date.